

FILED

2018 DEC 11 PM 3:09

CAUSE NO. DC-16-10102

BILL SIMPSON and
HELEN SIMPSON
Plaintiffs,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
JULIA PITRE
DISTRICT CLERK
DALLAS CO., TEXAS
DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS DEPUTY

VS.

JACOB THOMAS MOORE
Defendant.

116th JUDICIAL DISTRICT

CHARGE OF THE COURT

MEMBERS OF THE JURY:

After the closing arguments, you will go to the jury room to decide the case, answer the questions that are attached, and reach a verdict. You may discuss the case with other jurors only when you are all together in the jury room.

Remember my previous instructions: Do not discuss the case with anyone else, either in person or by any other means. Do not do any independent investigation about the case or conduct any research. Do not look up any words in dictionaries or on the Internet. Do not post information about the case on the Internet. Do not share any special knowledge or experiences with the other jurors. Do not use your phone or any other electronic device during your deliberations for any reason.

Any notes you have taken are for your own personal use. You may take your notes back into the jury room and consult them during deliberations, but do not show or read your notes to your fellow jurors during your deliberations. Your notes are not evidence. Each of you should rely on your independent recollection of the evidence and not be influenced by the fact that another juror has or has not taken notes.

You must leave your notes with the bailiff when you are not deliberating. The bailiff will give your notes to me promptly after collecting them from you. I will make sure your notes are kept in a safe, secure location and not disclosed to anyone. After you complete your deliberations, the bailiff will collect your notes. When you are released from jury duty, the bailiff will promptly destroy your notes so that nobody can read what you wrote.

Here are the instructions for answering the questions.

1. Do not let bias, prejudice, or sympathy play any part in your decision.

2. Base your answers only on the evidence admitted in court and on the law that is in these instructions and questions. Do not consider or discuss any evidence that was not admitted in the courtroom.
3. You are to make up your own minds about the facts. You are the sole judges of the credibility of the witnesses and the weight to give their testimony. But on matters of law, you must follow all of my instructions.
4. If my instructions use a word in a way that is different from its ordinary meaning, use the meaning I give you, which will be a proper legal definition.
5. All the questions and answers are important. No one should say that any question or answer is not important.
6. Answer "yes" or "no" to all questions unless you are told otherwise. A "yes" answer must be based on a preponderance of the evidence unless you are told otherwise. Whenever a question requires an answer other than "yes" or "no," your answer must be based on a preponderance of the evidence unless you are told otherwise.

The term "preponderance of the evidence" means the greater weight of credible evidence presented in this case. If you do not find that a preponderance of the evidence supports a "yes" answer, then answer "no." A preponderance of the evidence is not measured by the number of witnesses or by the number of documents admitted in evidence. For a fact to be proved by a preponderance of the evidence, you must find that the fact is more likely true than not true.

A fact may be established by direct evidence or by circumstantial evidence or both. A fact is established by direct evidence when proved by documentary evidence or by witnesses who saw the act done or heard the words spoken. A fact is established by circumstantial evidence when it may be fairly and reasonably inferred from other facts proved.

7. Do not decide who you think should win before you answer the questions and then just answer the questions to match your decision. Answer each question carefully without considering who will win. Do not discuss or consider the effect your answers will have.
8. Do not answer questions by drawing straws or by any method of chance.

9. Some questions might ask you for a dollar amount. Do not agree in advance to decide on a dollar amount by adding up each juror's amount and then figuring the average.
10. Do not trade your answers. For example, do not say, "I will answer this question your way if you answer another question my way."
11. Unless otherwise instructed, the answers to the questions must be based on the decision of at least ten of the twelve jurors. The same ten or eleven jurors must agree on every answer. Do not agree to be bound by a vote of anything less than ten jurors, even if it would be a majority.

As I have said before, if you do not follow these instructions, you will be guilty of juror misconduct, and I might have to order a new trial and start this process over again. This would waste your time and the parties' money, and would require the taxpayers of this county to pay for another trial. If a juror breaks any of these rules, tell that person to stop and report it to me immediately.

DEFINITIONS

1. "Negligence" means failure to use ordinary care, that is, failing to do that which a person of ordinary prudence would have done under the same or similar circumstances or doing that which a person of ordinary prudence would not have done under the same or similar circumstances.
2. "Ordinary Care" means that degree of care that would be used by a person of ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances.
3. "Proximate Cause" means that cause that was a substantial factor in bringing about an injury or occurrence, and without which cause such injury or occurrence would not have occurred. In order to be a proximate cause, the act or omission complained of must be such that a person using ordinary care would have foreseen that the injury or occurrence, or some similar injury or occurrence, might reasonably result therefrom. There may be more than one proximate cause of an injury or occurrence.
4. "Occurrence" means the incident that occurred on June 11, 2015.

QUESTIONS

QUESTION NO. 1

Did the negligence, if any, of those named below proximately cause the occurrence in question?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each of the following:

a. Jacob Thomas Moore

Yes

b. Alicia Paulson

No

If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 1 for more than one of those named below, then answer the following question. Otherwise, do not answer the following question.

Assign percentages of responsibility only to those you found caused or contributed to cause the occurrence. The percentages you find must total 100 percent. The percentages must be expressed in whole numbers. The percentage of responsibility attributable to any one is not necessarily measured by the number of acts or omissions found. The percentage attributable to any one need not be the same percentage attributed to that one in answering another question.

QUESTION NO. 1B

For each person you found caused or contributed to cause the occurrence, find the percentage of negligence attributable to each:

a. Jacob Thomas Moore _____ %

b. Alicia Paulson _____ %

TOTAL _____ 100 _____ %

QUESTION NO. 2

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Helen Simpson for her injuries, if any, that resulted from the occurrence in question?

Consider the elements of damages listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find.

Do not include any amount for any condition not resulting from the occurrence in question.

Do not include any amount for any condition existing before the occurrence in question, except to the extent, if any, that such condition was aggravated by any injuries that resulted from the occurrence in question.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

a. Physical pain sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$100,000

b. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Helen Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$0

c. Mental anguish sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$50,000

d. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Helen Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$25,000

e. Physical impairment sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$50,000

f. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Helen Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$0

QUESTION NO. 3

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Bill Simpson for his injuries, if any, that resulted from the occurrence in question?

Consider the elements of damages listed below and none other. Consider each element separately. Do not award any sum of money on any element if you have otherwise, under some other element, awarded a sum of money for the same loss. That is, do not compensate twice for the same loss, if any. Do not include interest on any amount of damages you find.

Do not include any amount for any condition not resulting from the occurrence in question.

Do not include any amount for any condition existing before the occurrence in question, except to the extent, if any, that such condition was aggravated by any injuries that resulted from the occurrence in question.

Answer separately, in dollars and cents, for damages, if any.

a. Physical pain sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$ 25,000

b. Physical pain that, in reasonable probability, Bill Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$ 0

c. Mental anguish sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$ 0

d. Mental anguish that, in reasonable probability, Bill Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$ 0

e. Physical impairment sustained in the past:

ANSWER: \$ 0

f. Physical impairment that, in reasonable probability, Bill Simpson will sustain in the future:

ANSWER: \$ 0

QUESTION NO. 4

Answer the following question regarding Jacob Thomas Moore only if you unanimously answered "Yes" to Question No. 1 regarding Jacob Thomas Moore. Otherwise, do not answer the following question regarding Jacob Thomas Moore.

To answer "Yes" to the following question, your answer must be unanimous. You may answer "No" to the following question only upon a vote of ten or more jurors. Otherwise, you must not answer the following question.

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that the harm to Helen Simpson and Bill Simpson resulted from gross negligence?

"Clear and convincing evidence" means the measure or degree of proof that produces a firm belief or conviction of the truth of the allegations sought to be established.

"Gross negligence" means an act or omission by Jacob Thomas Moore,

1. which when viewed objectively from the standpoint of Jacob Thomas Moore at the time of its occurrence involves an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to others, and
2. of which Jacob Thomas Moore has actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless proceeds with conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of others.

Answer "Yes" or "No".

ANSWER: No

QUESTION NO. 5

Answer the following question regarding Jacob Thomas Moore only if you unanimously answered "Yes" to Question No. 4 regarding Jacob Thomas Moore. Otherwise, do not answer the following question regarding Jacob Thomas Moore.

You are instructed that you must unanimously agree on the amount of any award of exemplary damages.

What sum of money, if any, should be assessed against Jacob Thomas Moore and awarded to Helen Simpson and Bill Simpson as exemplary damages for the conduct found in response to Question No. 4?

"Exemplary damages" means any damages awarded as a penalty or by way of punishment but not for compensatory purposes. Exemplary damages include punitive damages.

Factors to consider in awarding exemplary damages, if any, are –

1. The nature of the wrong.
2. The character of the conduct involved.
3. The degree of culpability of the wrongdoer.
4. The situation and sensibilities of the parties concerned.
5. The extent to which such conduct offends a public sense of justice and propriety.
6. The net worth of Jacob Thomas Moore.

Answer in dollars and cents, if any.

ANSWER: _____

Presiding Juror:

1. When you go into the jury room to answer the questions, the first thing you will need to do is choose a presiding juror.
2. The presiding juror has these duties:
 - a. have the complete charge read aloud if it will be helpful to your deliberations;
 - b. preside over your deliberations, meaning manage the discussions, and see that you follow these instructions;
 - c. give written questions or comments to the bailiff who will give them to the judge;
 - d. write down the answers you agree on;
 - e. get the signatures for the verdict certificate; and
 - f. notify the bailiff that you have reached a verdict.

Do you understand the duties of the presiding juror? If you do not, please tell me now.

Instructions for Signing the Verdict Certificate

1. Unless otherwise instructed, you may answer the questions on a vote of ten jurors. The same ten jurors must agree on every answer in the charge. This means you may not have one group of ten jurors agree on one answer and a different group of ten jurors agree on another answer.
2. If ten jurors agree on every answer, those ten jurors sign the verdict. If eleven jurors agree on every answer, those eleven jurors sign the verdict. If all twelve of you agree on every answer, you are unanimous and only the presiding juror signs the verdict.
3. All jurors should deliberate on every question. You may end up with all twelve of you agreeing on some answers, while only ten or eleven of you agree on other answers. But when you sign the verdict, only those ten who agree on every answer will sign the verdict.
4. There are some special instructions before Question Nos 4 and 5 explaining how to answer those questions. Please follow the instructions. If all twelve of you answer those questions, you will need to complete a second verdict certificate for those questions.

Do you understand these instructions? If you do not, please tell me now.



JUDGE PRESIDING

Verdict Certificate

Check one:

Our verdict is unanimous. All twelve of us have agreed to each and every answer. The presiding juror has signed the certificate for all twelve of us.

Signature of Presiding Juror

Printed Name of Presiding Juror

Our verdict is not unanimous. Eleven of us have agreed to each and every answer and have signed the certificate below

Our verdict is not unanimous. Ten of us have agreed to each and every answer and have signed the certificate below.

Signatures:

- 1. Laura Ann
- 2. Charles Crews
- 3. Dianna Hume
- 4. Rebecca Guevara
- 5. Francisco Gallegos
- 6. Gumaro Martinez
- 7. Michael Puttonen
- 8. John P. Cartwright Jr
- 9. Angelica Barron
- 10. Endy Rodriguez
- 11. _____

Printed Names:

- Laura Enwere
- Charles Crews
- Dianna Hume
- Rebecca Guevara
- Francisco Gallegos
- Gumaro Martinez
- Michael Puttonen
- John P. Cartwright Jr
- Angelica Barron
- Endy Rodriguez
- _____

If you have answered Question Nos. 1 (part a), 4, and 5, then you must sign this certificate also.

Additional Certificate

I certify that the jury was unanimous in answering the following questions. All twelve of us agreed to each of the answers. The presiding juror has signed the certificate for all twelve of us.

Question No. 1 (part a)

Question No. 4

Question No. 5



Signature of Presiding Juror

Laura Enwere

Printed Name of Presiding Juror